ECITATION -1: Concentration Ineq.



- * <u>Motivation</u>
 - Functions of random variables are very regul.
 e.g. f(X1,..., Xn) = X1+...+Xn,
 f(X1,..., Xn) = max Xi , etc.
 - · Expectation is relatively easy to compute/
 - Concentration ineq. gives conditions runder which f(x₁,...,x_n) ≈ E[f(X₁,...,X_n)].

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|f(X_{1},...,X_{n})-\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{1},...,X_{n})\right]\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \leq \varsigma.$$

· In AMLDS,

 $\mathbb{P}[\text{of a bad event}] \leq S.$

- generally me are interested in various regimes of E < S. Ideally small E × small S. - Small E => f(x,...,xu) NOT too far away from $\mathbb{E}[f(X_1,...,X_N)]$ - Small & > j(x,,..,xn) is close to E[j(™)] most of time.

e.g. In AMLDS, the smaller the f, the runion bound can be taken over more bad events. (for a fixed failure probability).



Let
$$X_{1}, ..., X_{n}$$
 is dist. of X (think of n as Hubble)

$$\frac{X_{1}+...+X_{M}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X = M$$

$$\frac{\sum X_{i}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X = M$$

$$\frac{\sum X_{i}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X = M$$

$$\frac{\sum X_{i}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X = M$$

$$\frac{X_{i}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X$$

$$\frac{X_{i}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X = M$$

$$\frac{X_{i}}{n} = \mathbb{E}X$$

$$\frac{X_{i$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} & = & \left(\underbrace{\# \ X_{i,k} \geqslant t}_{N} \right) \cdot t & = & \\ \end{array} & = & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & & \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ & \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} & & \end{array}$$

Ø

Idea: Apply Markor's, but make your T.Y. Lomehon non-negative.

$$\frac{(hebyshev's)}{(X-EX)^2} : Applied Markeov's Ho}{(X-EX)^2}, \text{ instead of } X-EX$$

i.e.
$$P((X-EX)^2 \ge t^2) \le \frac{E((X-EX)^2)}{t^2}$$
$$= \frac{Var(X)}{t^2}$$
$$= P((X-EX) \ge t) \le \frac{Var(X)}{t^2}.$$



• In general
Lut
$$\phi$$
 be a function $s:t: \phi(x) \ge 0, 4x$
 $\phi(x) \ge \phi(t) \implies x \ge t$
Hen
 $P(x \ge t) \le P(\phi(x) \ge \phi(t)) \le \frac{E\phi(x)}{\phi(t)}$
 $\phi(x) \qquad \therefore \quad (hoose a non-decreasing}{(non-negative function.})$

· what was op in chebysher's.?

*
$$\underline{yoal}$$
: Choose ϕ s.t. $\phi(t)$ is large
* $\underline{E}\phi(x)$ is easy to bound
 $(\times small)$.

* Suppose
$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
 : Gaussian mill mean o
variance $\sigma^{2} = 1$
P(1X-FX| > t) = $\frac{1}{t^{2}}$
Achial:
 $P(1X-FX| > t) \leq 2e^{\frac{1}{t^{2}}}$
 $= \int_{t}^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{t^{2}}}} e^{\frac{1}{t^{2}}} dx$

We let a HUGE difference
lets fix error probability 5.
To the gaussian case, Chabycholis ineq. gives:

$$P(|X-IEX| \ge t) \le \frac{1}{t^2} = 5 \implies t= \int_{\overline{S}}^{\overline{S}}$$

$$P(|X-IEX| \ge \int_{\overline{S}}^{\overline{S}}) \le 5$$

$$P(|X-IEX| \ge \int_{\overline{S}}^{\overline{S}}) \le 2 = 5$$

$$I= \int_{\log(\frac{2}{5})}^{\log(\frac{1}{5})}$$

$$P(|X-IEX| \ge \int_{\log(\frac{1}{5})}^{\log(\frac{1}{5})}) \le 8$$

X is MUCH closer to its expectation when
X is a Gaussian r.v.
COMPARE
$$\int \log \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{5}$$

Maybe a more clover \oint could help no.
Let my $\oint(x) = x^4$.
Let $x \sim J(0,1)$.
P($|X-EX| \ge t$) $\le P((X-Ex)^4 \ge t^4) \le \frac{E((k+Ex)^4)}{t^4} \le \frac{3}{5}^4$
 $\Rightarrow P(|X-EX| \ge t) \le \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{$

With magic of Wikipedia, we can get that

$$P(|x-ix| \ge ot) = P(|x-ix|^{2m} \ge t_{ot}^{2m}) \le \frac{2^m \cdot \frac{|2m|!}{m!}}{t_{ot}^{2m}}$$

$$= \frac{2^m \cdot m^m}{t^{2m}} = f$$

$$= \frac{2^m \cdot m^m}{s_{im}^{2m}} \Rightarrow t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot m}{s_{im}^{2m}}}$$

$$P(|x-ix| \ge \sqrt{\frac{2m}{s_{im}^{2m}}}) \le f$$

$$= \int \int \frac{2m}{s_{im}^{2m}} = f$$

- · Good attempt, but E(X-EX)^m is difficult to calculate in general.
- · Maybe, I can apply a more clever function. Lek my $\varphi(x) = e^{xx}$ (for come x) $\mathbb{P}((x-\mathbb{E}x)>t) \in \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda(x-\mathbb{E}x)} \ge e^{\lambda t})$ $\leq \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda(x-\mathbb{E}^{X})})$ ext $= \exp(\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2})$

<u>Recall the goal:</u> Make TEP(x) small p(t) big. A is in our control, to I mill choose the best A. min exp(22 - At) $= exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{1}\sigma^{1}}{2} - \lambda^{\dagger}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \lambda^{\sigma^{1}}}{2} - t\right) = 0$ 1/2 $\Rightarrow \exp(\lambda \frac{2}{5^{2}} - \lambda t) = \exp(\frac{t^{2}}{5^{4}} - \frac{t}{5^{2}}) = \exp(\frac{t^{2}}{5^{4}} - \frac{t}{5^{2}}) = \exp(-\frac{t^{2}}{5^{4}})$

We get

$$P(x-IEx > t) \leq \exp(-\frac{t}{2\sigma^2}) = \xi$$

$$t = \left[2\log(\frac{1}{2})\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow P(x-IEx > \left[2\log\frac{1}{2}\right] \leq \xi$$

$$\Rightarrow P(x-IEx > \left[2\log\frac{1}{2}\right] \leq \xi$$

=) We get the desired bound in order. $\varphi(x) = exp(\lambda x)$, anesono !!!

*
$$\phi(x) = e^{\lambda x}$$
 is a nice idea for Gaussian.
BUT, is $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda x)]$ easy to compute?
: Lets try it for our far. example.
 X_1, \dots, X_n is Bern (P)
 $\mathbb{E}\Sigma X_i = nP$, $S = \Sigma X_i$
· Markov's $P(S > t) \leq nP = S$
 $t = \frac{1}{5}$ = $P(S > nP) = S$.

$$\frac{Chebyshov's}{P(|Zx_i - nP| \ge t)} \le \frac{E(Zx_i - nP)}{t}$$

$$= Var(x_i + \dots + x_n) = \frac{Z}{t} Vav(x_i)$$

$$= nP(i-P)$$

$$\therefore P(|S-P| \ge t) \le \frac{nP(i-P)}{t^2} \le 2 t \le \frac{nP}{s}$$

$$P(|S_n - P| \ge MP) \le 8$$

2>

· Let's my noith $\phi(x) = e^{\lambda x}$. $\mathbb{P}(2x;-np \ge t) \le \mathbb{P}(exp(\lambda(2x;-np)) \ge exp(\lambda t))$

 $\mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda(\Sigma x_{i} - np))$ ≤ exp(xt)

 $\frac{\exp(-\lambda n \beta)}{\exp(\lambda t)} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda \Xi x)\right]$

Let's (alculate E[exp(LExi)]

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda x_{1}) \cdot \exp(\lambda x_{2}) \cdot \cdots \cdot \exp(\lambda x_{n})\right]$ =)

= $E\left[exp(\lambda x_{i})\right]$ » whi j

 $TE[exp(x|x_1)] = p \cdot exp(x_1) + (1-p)exp(0)$ $= pe^{\lambda} + (1-p)$

... We get Krat $P(|\Sigma_{x_i} - np| \neq t) \in (pe^{\lambda} + (i-p)) exp(-xm)$ exp(it)

GOAL: Make RNS small

 $\rightarrow \min (\frac{pe^{\lambda} + (1-p)}{exp(\lambda t)})$

n $(pe^{\lambda}+(1-p))^{n-1}$, $pe^{\lambda} exp(-\lambda np-\lambda t)$ + $(pe^{\lambda}+(1-p)^{n} exp(-\lambda np-\lambda t))$. (-np-t)= 0

ろ $pe^{\lambda} = (pe^{\lambda} + (1-p))(np + t)$ $e^{\lambda}(1-\frac{np+t}{n}) = \frac{(1-p)}{p}(\frac{np+t}{n})$

=) ∕ = $\log \left(\frac{(1-p)}{p} \left(\frac{np+t}{n} \right) \right)$ $\left(\frac{(1-np+t)}{n} \right)$

Too Difficult to evaluate. Lets by to simplify.

(hernoff calculations · (per+(1-p)) exp(- xnp - xt) · Let $t = (1 + \varepsilon) n \beta$. » $(pe^{\lambda} + (1-p))'' exp(-\lambda np(2+\epsilon))$ $e^{\lambda x} \leq 1 + (e^{\lambda} - 1) \times 1 + \chi \leq e^{\chi}$ $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X_i} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[1 + (e^{\lambda} - 1)X_i\right]$ $= 1 + (e^{\lambda} - 1)$ $\leq \exp((e^{\lambda}-1)p)$

 $\therefore P(\Sigma X_i \ge (I + \varepsilon)M)$ $= \frac{e \times p((e^{\lambda} - U p))}{e \times p(\lambda(1+\epsilon)M)}$

 $\therefore P(X \ge (1+\varepsilon)M) \le exp((e^{\lambda}-1)Np - \lambda(He)p)$ min $(e^{\lambda}-1)$ np - λ (HE) np => $e^{\lambda}ap = (1+E) Ap$ $\lambda = \log(1+\varepsilon)$

 $\rightarrow P(X \ge (1+\varepsilon)M) \le$

exp(Enp-(1+E)log(HE)np)

 $P((x-u) \ge EM) \le exp(-u(u+e))$ ··· E+[9] $\mathbb{P}(X \ge (1+\varepsilon)M) \le \exp(-M\varepsilon^2/3)$

* Chernoff: Final Bound $exp(-u_{3}) = f$ $\frac{ME^2}{3} = \log(\frac{1}{8}) = \frac{3\log(\frac{1}{8})}{1}$ $P\left(\frac{X-\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{\log(\frac{1}{E})}{n_{p}}}\right) \le S$ $\int Very$ $\int de gaussiant \qquad p_{VF}$ $P\left(\frac{X-\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{2\log(\frac{1}{E})}{n_{p}}}\right) \le J.$

* <u>In general</u>, J me know a good $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda x_i})$, that suffices. > If XiE [a, b], then, $\alpha \leq \mathcal{O} \leq \mathcal{D}$ \mathcal{N} log $WW = (e^{\lambda \chi_i}) \leq e^{\lambda} (b-a^2)$ $\frac{\text{Sublaussian X.V.}}{\mathbb{E}(e^{X_i})} \leq \exp\left(\frac{\chi^2 e^2}{2}\right), \forall \lambda$

* <u>Hoeffdivgs</u> $exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(b-a)^2}{R}\right)$ $P(Z_{X_i} \ge t) \le$ exp()t)

 $exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(b-a)^2n}{8}-\lambda t\right)$ win wrt λ $2\lambda \left(\frac{b-a}{8}\right)^2 = t \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{ht}{(b-a)^2 n}$

 $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}\times_i \geq t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{2t}{(b-a)^2 n} - \frac{4t}{(b-a)^2 n}\right)$

 $\leq \exp\left(\frac{-2t^2}{(b-a)^2 n}\right)$



$$\begin{split} \textbf{McDiarmid's Inequality}^{[i]} & - \text{Let } f: \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfy the bounded differences property with bounds } c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n. \end{split}$$
Consider independent random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n where $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$ for all i. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$egin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(f(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)-\mathbb{E}[f(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)]\geq t
ight)\leq \expigg(-rac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}igg), \ &\mathbb{P}(f(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)-\mathbb{E}[f(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)]\leq -t)\leq \expigg(-rac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}igg), \end{aligned}$$

and as an immediate consequence,

$$\mathrm{P}(|f(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)-\mathbb{E}[f(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)]|\geq \mathtt{t})\leq 2\exp\!\left(-rac{2\mathtt{t}^{\mathtt{t}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}
ight).$$

Bounded difference

$$\left| f(X_1,...,X_{i-1},X_i,X_{i+1},...,X_n) - f(X_1,...,X_{i-1},X_{i+1},...,X_n) \right| \leq C_i \quad , \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

HW:

1. Try Chernoll's proof on your own. 2. Prove broeffdingts lamma, i.e., (9≤0≤b) J Xi ∈ [a, 6] ~ EXi=0, then $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda (b-a)^{1}}{8}\right), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 3- Nork out proof of chernoll using Hoef dings temma Google Subganssian r.r. 4-